

# **Case Studies: Collective successes**

**Western Cape Province**

**8 December 2006**

**Willie Hofmeyr**

**Head: Special Investigating Unit  
and Asset Forfeiture Unit**



*SIU Vision: Working together to rid society of corruption*

1



# Background

## Asset Forfeiture Unit

- ✿ Willie Hofmeyr is head of both
  - ✿ The Special Investigating Unit, and
  - ✿ The Asset Forfeiture Unit
- ✿ AFU is a unit in National Prosecuting Authority
- ✿ Set up in 1999 to implement Prevention of Organised Crime Act to take the proceeds of crime and property used to commit crime

Specialises in civil litigation and deals with all crime



*SIU Vision: Working together to rid society of corruption*

2



# Background

## Special Investigating Unit

- ✱ SIU is separate from NPA, AFU or Scorpions
- ✱ But works closely with SAPS, NPS or Scorpions when there is evidence of criminal activity
  
- ✱ 1995 – Heath Commission established by E Cape government – headed by former Judge Heath
- ✱ 1996 – became a national body in terms of the SIU Act, 1996
- ✱ 1997 – first SIU set up by Proc R24
- ✱ 2001 – 31 July: New SIU set up by Proc R118  
Head Willie Hofmeyr



*SIU Vision: Working together to rid society of corruption*

3



# SIU has investigative powers

- ✱ The SIU has formal powers similar to a commission
  - ✱ Members can take affidavits
  - ✱ It can subpoena documents and records
  - ✱ It has powers of search and seizure
- ✱ The SIU can produce a court ready docket (not just a report) as it has formal investigation powers
- ✱ It has important power to interrogate witnesses and suspects under oath
  - ✱ Compel them to answer self-incriminating questions
  - ✱ Cannot be used against them in criminal trial
  - ✱ But can be used to recover money



*SIU Vision: Working together to rid society of corruption*

4



# Power to institute civil litigation

- ✦ The major innovation with the SIU is that it does not only investigate, but can take civil legal action to correct any wrongdoing it finds
- ✦ Thus the SIU can, for example, obtain court orders:
  - ✦ to compel persons to repay any wrongful benefit they received
  - ✦ to cancel contracts when the proper procedures were not followed
  - ✦ to stop transactions or other actions that were not properly authorised



# SIU – a one-stop service

SIU tries to provide a one-stop service to departments:

- ✱ Do a complete multi-disciplinary investigation
- ✱ With law enforcement powers,
  - ✱ file court admissible evidence, not just a report
- ✱ Prepare disciplinary inquiries and testify
- ✱ Work with SAPS to ensure arrest
  - ✱ Prepare court-ready docket
- ✱ Work with NPA to speed up prosecutions
  - ✱ Even assist with prosecution directly
- ✱ Do civil recovery, eg set aside contracts or claim from pensions of officials guilty of causing losses
- Advise on systemic improvements



*SIU Vision: Working together to rid society of corruption*

6



# Working with Departments

- ✿ A major innovation has been to work closely with depts to deal effectively with corruption
- ✿ They have agreed to pay the costs of the investigation
- ✿ These partnerships have driven the growth of the SIU and its increased capacity to fight corruption
- ✿ **Dept of Social Dev** – growing to R58m pa (200 people)
- ✿ **Dept of Transport** – R16.8m (75 people)
- ✿ **Dept of Correctional Services** – R10m pa (30 people)
- ✿ **Dept of Local Govt in Eastern Cape** – R6m (17 people)



# Growth of SIU

- ✿ 5 years ago, the SIU set itself the goal to build sufficient capacity in the state to deal with corruption effectively
- ✿ With a budget of R22 million and just 67 staff it seemed an almost impossible dream
- ✿ today, we are probably half way there

	Aug 2001	Apr 2007	Apr 2009
<b>Budget (Treasury)</b>	R 22 m	R 56 m	R 150 m
<b>Funding from Depts</b>	R 0 m	R 89 m	R 120 m
<b>Staff</b>	67	550	1 000





# Achievements: 2001/02 – 2005/06

- ✦ **R79 million** in cash recoveries (money to be paid back)
- ✦ **R852 million** in savings (saved within the financial year)
- ✦ **R6.7 billion** in prevention of future loss
  - ✦ Mainly from DSD grants and DCS medical aid fund
- ✦ Evidence prepared for use in:
  - ✦ civil proceedings: 3 461
  - ✦ criminal proceedings: 2 524
  - ✦ disciplinary proceedings: 987
  - ✦ other remedial action: 46 499 (mainly licenses, grants)



# Preventions of future loss

- ✿ Preventions of future loss are calculated according to a formula agreed with Auditor-General
  - ✿ It is the present value of recurring payments saved
  - ✿ It calculates the saving when, eg, a grant of R1 000 pa is stopped
  - ✿ The formula assumes that the grant would have been paid for another 9 years (on average)
  - ✿ It calculates the present value of those future payments at a 4% real interest rate
- ✿ In this case, the present value is about R7 500



# Dept of Social Development: Govt officials receiving grants

- ✿ 43 705 public servants identified on Socpen database
- ✿ 22 117 entitled to social grants
- ✿ 21 588 irregular beneficiaries
  - ✿ 18 829 public servants removed from SocPen
  - ✿ Balance in process of removal
- ✿ Yearly value of cancelled pensions: **R70m**
- ✿ Present value of future pensions: **R379m**
- ✿ 13 920 possible prosecutions
  - ✿ 2 848 prosecutions to Nov 06
  - ✿ 2 009 convictions to date



# DSD: savings from deterrence

- ✱ A key objective is to deter fraud and to:
  - ✱ Increase voluntary cancellations
  - ✱ Reduce future fraudulent applications
- ✱ In 05/06 voluntary lapses increased by 95 535
  - ✱ from 108 812 (04/05) to 204 437 (05/06)
  - ✱ For 2006, increase is 13 603 to Oct
  - ✱ **Total increase lapses = 109 138**
- ✱ Value of increase in lapses
  - ✱ R363m (05/06) + R82m (to Oct 06) = R445m

**Present value of future savings: R3.4 billion**



# DSD: Private Beneficiaries

- ✦ Identified **510 396** private individuals who may be benefiting illegally
- ✦ 47 individuals prosecuted
- ✦ 4 syndicates prosecuted
- ✦ Joint investigation with SAPS in Western Cape result in arrest of suspect for selling 25 false medical reports – Groote Schuur Hospital stamp

Province	Number
Eastern Cape	56 855
Free State	30 168
Gauteng	83 019
KwaZulu-Natal	114 606
Mpumalanga	40 209
Northern Cape	11 175
Limpopo	56 117
Northwest	38 689
Western Cape	79 558



# DCS: Medical Aid fund

- ✦ Joint investigation with SAPS and DSO and DCS
- ✦ Recovered R22 million to date
- ✦ Reduced claims from medical aid by over R500 million pa
  - ✦ Actual is about R600 million
  - ✦ Should have been about R1,1 billion pa with normal medical inflation
- ✦ Prevented future losses of R3.6 billion
- ✦ 26 medical practitioners criminally charged
- ✦ 10 DCS officials internally charged



# Department of Transport

- ✱ **26 873** invalid licences identified, incl foreign conversions
  - ✱ Overall trend pattern show less than 10% invalid rate
- ✱ **11 359** cancellations recommended already
  - ✱ **1 202** cancelled to date
- ✱ **3 157** criminal cases under investigation
  - ✱ **659** arrested (**64** officials and **595** private individuals)
  - ✱ **376** convictions
- ✱ **149** disciplinary cases under investigation
  - ✱ **92** officials referred for internal disciplinary enquiries
  - ✱ **25** finalised



# Using civil forfeiture vs corruption

- ✱ Convictions difficult to obtain in corruption cases
- ✱ Civil forfeiture is important weapon – no conviction needed
- ✱ As in other civil proceedings, it is only necessary to prove facts on the balance of probabilities
- ✱ Can act even when evidence is not strong enough for a conviction
- ✱ Prove the property “guilty” of being proceeds of crime
- ✱ Also important where the perpetrator cannot be tried because they have died or fled the country
- ✱ Perpetrators often do not contest since they have to make statements under oath that may be used against them in a criminal case





# Case study

- ✱ Alamiyeseigha - governor of a state in Nigeria
- ✱ Arrested in UK but escape back to Nigeria
  - ✱ where enjoyed immunity as state governor
- ✱ Freeze SA property through civil forfeiture in Dec 2005
  - ✱ Even though at time he had immunity in Nigeria
  - ✱ On basis of evidence from UK and Nigeria that property was proceeds of corruption
- ✱ Obtain final forfeiture in July 2006 – not contested
  - ✱ Court recognised the Nigerian federal government as the true innocent owner
- ✱ Assets sold for R12m and will be returned shortly



# Conclusion

- ✦ SA has made much progress over last few years in dealing effectively with corruption
  - ✦ SAPS and NPA Specialised Commercial crime Courts
  - ✦ SIU, AFU, Scorpions, new Act
- ✦ The building of much larger capacity to deal with corruption is a big step forward
- ✦ But much more needs to be done before we can say we are winning the war against corruption

