

LGMTEC PROCESS - 2013/14

**EXPERIENCE OF LANGEBERG  
MUNICIPALITY**



**LANGEBERG**

MUNISIPALITEIT MUNICIPALITY MASIPALA

# Background

**2013/14 Budget tabled – 26 March 2013**

**Budget provided to PT – 26 March 2013**

**LGMTEC Report received – 24 April 2013**

**LGMTEC meeting – 2 May 2013**

**Adjusted Report received from PT – 13 May  
2013**

**2013/14 Budget Approved – 28 May 2013**

# View - LGMTEC Process

## Positives

- Report provided timely
- Allow more time to respond

## Negatives

- Presentation made by PT at meeting included other issues which was not highlighted in the report - should be aligned
- Letters sent to Mayors irt completion of budget schedules - Politicians involve in process

# VIEW – LGMTEC REPORT

## Positives

**IDP – One report for budget and IDP – linked  
Important issues evaluated (Linkage to  
strategic outcomes)**

**More focused on IDP/Budget ( not on all other  
compliance issues)**

**Report add value irt financial ratios, cash flow  
situation, credibility etc.**

**Address all relevant IDP/budget issues**

# VIEW – LGMTEC REPORT

## Negatives

- Couple of issues raised which was incorrect – report had to be adjusted
- It seems that budget was evaluated by different sections – each one with its own conclusion – contradicts one another/not coordinated
- Certain assumptions not correct – grant dependent & no credit rating

# PROPOSAL – WAY FORWARD

- Draft report should be provided to municipality for comments where-after formal presentation/meeting can take place
- Do not involve politicians in process (pls!)

# CONCLUSION

- **The LGMTEC process has been streamlined and the Assessment Report of the IDP and Budget has been improved to focus on relevant issues.**

**THANK YOU**  
**DANKIE**