TECHNICAL INDICATOR DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PROGRAMME PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

PROGRAMME 1: ADMINISTRATION

	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.1 : OFFICE OF THE MEC
	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.2 : OFFICE OF THE HOD
Indicator title	1.1.1
	1.2.1 Audit report
Short definition	To measure the organisational performance and the financial
	management performance of the Department and what systems and
	process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performances
Purpose/importance	Improve levels of integrity, efficiency and effectiveness
Source/collection of data	Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of information from AGSA
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Programme Manager: Administration
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.3: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
Indicator title	1.3.1 Audit reports (financial)
Short definition	To measure the financial management performance of the
	Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor
	and evaluate such financial management performance
Purpose/importance	Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness
Source/collection of data	Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of information from AGSA
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Financial Management
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
Indicator title	1.3.2 Number of In Year Monitoring reports
Short definition	1.3.2 Number of In Year Monitoring reports Monthly in year reports on performance
Purpose/importance	Corrective action instituted timeously
Source/collection of data	In-house reports and Treasury format
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Efficiency, economy
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Monthly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Financial Management
Key Risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
KISK HEATHIETH HIEUSUIE	I KOVICW OI 3OI

Indicator title	1.3.3 Number of Annual Financial Statements Submitted
Short definition	Measure financial performance of the Department
Purpose/importance	Transparency
Source/collection of data	National Treasury templates and system reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Efficiency, economy
Calculation type	Cumulative for the year
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Financial Management
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
RISK HEATHER HEASOIC	REVIEW OF SOF
Indicator title	1.3.4 Number of corrective actions achieved with regard to audit findings and SCOPA/SC resolutions
Short definition	Ensure corrective action is completes and addresses the findings
Purpose/importance	Transparency, integrity
Source/collection of data	Audit / SCOPA / SC reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Efficiency
Calculation type	Non-Cumulative for the year
Reporting cycle	Bi-annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Financial Management
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES
Risk treatment measure Indicator title	
	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES
Indicator title	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such
Indicator title	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and
Indicator title	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such
Indicator title Short definition	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4 : CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year To showcase/table what the Department is planning to achieve for
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year To showcase/table what the Department is planning to achieve for the upcoming financial year
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year To showcase/table what the Department is planning to achieve for the upcoming financial year The information is derived from the line function i.e. strategic
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year To showcase/table what the Department is planning to achieve for the upcoming financial year The information is derived from the line function i.e. strategic coordinators which is submitted in a NT template format
Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation	SUB-PROGRAMME 1.4: CORPORATE SERVICES 1.4.1 Audit report (performance) To measure the organisational performance of the Department and what systems and process are in place to monitor and evaluate such performance Improve levels of Integrity, efficiency and effectiveness Minutes of Meetings with Auditors, AGSA communiqués Count Availability of information from AGSA Output Non-cumulative Annually No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication Non adherence to timelines and misalignment Review of SOP 1.4.2 Number of Annual Performance Plans submitted and published To provide detailed performance plan targets for a financial year To showcase/table what the Department is planning to achieve for the upcoming financial year The information is derived from the line function i.e. strategic coordinators which is submitted in a NT template format Count

Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	Performance as per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
	No
Indicator title	1.4.3 Number of Quarterly Performance Reports submitted
Short definition	To provide information on quarterly achievements based on the
Decree and Green and are an	Annual Performance Plan
Purpose/importance	To table the departmental performance achievements per quarter to Provincial Treasury
Source/collection of data	The data collection is the Quarterly Performance Reports and Annual Performance Plan, internal assessments
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	Performance as per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP
Indicator title	1.4.4 Number of Annual Reports published
Short definition	To provide a report of the Department's annual achievements based on the Annual Performance Plan
Purpose/importance	To table the departmental performance achievements for a financial year
Source/collection of data	The data collection is the Quarterly Performance Reports and Annual
3001ce/collection of data	Performance Plan
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	Performance as per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Strategic Services and Communication
Key risk	Non adherence to timelines and misalignment
Risk treatment measure	Review of SOP

PROGRAMME 2 - CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT

Indicator title Short definition To develop transversal policies of strategic nature for the Program Purpose/importance This objective will ensure that policies are developed in order to go operations in alignment with the Community Safety Act 3 of 2013 Source/collection of data Policy document Method of calculation Data limitations Access and availability of information Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects as required or directed the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance Nethod of calculation Count Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually Revindicator No	
This objective will ensure that policies are developed in order to go operations in alignment with the Community Safety Act 3 of 2013 Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Sub-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title Short definition Research reports on special projects as required or directed the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance Super to Police to influence policing policy SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Annually	
Source/collection of data Policy document Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Sub-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance Supersolution Source/collection of data Method of calculation Type of indicator Dotal limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Calculation Resporting cycle Policy Annually Resporting cycle Annually Reporting cycle Annually	iide
Source/collection of data Policy document Method of calculation Count Data limitations Access and availability of information Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Civilian Oversight Key Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Count Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to information Type of indicator Output Calculation type	
Source/collection of data Policy document Method of calculation Count Data limitations Access and availability of information Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Civilian Oversight Key Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Count Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to information Type of indicator Output Calculation type	
Data limitations Access and availability of information Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Civilian Oversight Key Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Count Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	
Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Annually	
Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey Risk Change of strategic direction Risk treatment measure Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Annually	
Reporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorNoDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilityProgramme Manager: Civilian OversightKey RiskChange of strategic directionRisk treatment measureUse forecasting to identify possible strategic changesSUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCHIndicator title2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects*Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
New indicatorNoDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilityProgramme Manager: Civilian OversightKey RiskChange of strategic directionRisk treatment measureUse forecasting to identify possible strategic changesSUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCHIndicator title2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects*Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Desired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilityProgramme Manager: Civilian OversightKey RiskChange of strategic directionRisk treatment measureUse forecasting to identify possible strategic changesSUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCHIndicator title2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects*Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Indicator responsibility Key Risk Change of strategic direction Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes SUB-PROGRAMME 2.2: POLICY AND RESEARCH Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	
Key RiskChange of strategic directionRisk treatment measureUse forecasting to identify possible strategic changesSUB-PROGRAMME 2.2 : POLICY AND RESEARCHIndicator title2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects*Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Key RiskChange of strategic directionRisk treatment measureUse forecasting to identify possible strategic changesSUB-PROGRAMME 2.2 : POLICY AND RESEARCHIndicator title2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects*Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Use forecasting to identify possible strategic changes	
Indicator title 2.2.1 Number of research reports on special projects* Short definition Research reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or Secretary Purpose/importance To undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Count Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	
Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Short definitionResearch reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or SecretaryPurpose/importanceTo undertake research on special projects as required or directed the Minister of Police to influence policing policySource/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
the Minister of Police to influence policing policy Source/collection of data SAPS, other national departments, civil society and communities Method of calculation Data limitations Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatio Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	
Source/collection of dataSAPS, other national departments, civil society and communitiesMethod of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	ЭУ
Method of calculationCountData limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Data limitationsLack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to informatioType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleAnnually	
Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	1
Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Annually	
New indicator No	
1.0	
Desired performance As per target	
Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research	
Key risk Short notice requests from National	
Risk treatment measure Allocate task to dedicate staff member once request is received	
Indicator title 2.2.2 Number of police clusters where Provincial Policing Needs a Priorities were determined	nd
Short definition The determining of policing needs and priorities (PNPs) from the perspective of communities	
Purpose/importance To determine the policing needs and priorities from the perspective communities with the aim of influencing the SAPS plan at local policing needs.	
station/community service centre level, in order to improve service	;
delivery of SAPS	
Source/collection of data PNP report per police cluster	
Method of calculation Count	
Data limitations Access to information	
Type of indicator Output	
Calculation type Cumulative	
Reporting cycle Quarterly	
New indicator No	
Desired performance As per target	
Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research	
Key risk The lack of participation of key stakehoders in the process	
Risk treatment measure The research methodological approach would be amended	
accordingly to primarily focus on secondary and existing data	

Indicator title	2.2.3 Number of functionality reports submitted based on EPP
Short definition	Evaluating the quality of oversight reports received from CPFs
Purpose/importance	To determine whether CPFs do exercise their oversight functions over
	the police effectively
Source/collection of data	Functional reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research
Key risk	The delay in the development of the Expanded Partnership Programme application
Risk treatment measure	This risk could be mitiagated by developing a manual system for collecting and capturing the information as an interim solution
Indicator title	2.2.4 Number of safety and crime trend analysis conducted
Short definition	Comparative analysis of crime statistics and safety information
Purpose/importance	To analyse and assess safety and crime trends in order to influence policing resources
Source/collection of data	Provincial report on crime statistics and safety information
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access and availability of information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research
Key risk	The lack of access to available data from key stakeholders to use in process increasing safety in Province through evidence based information. Alternative sources of data like the Department of Health, Forensic Pathology Service data on murder would be sourced. Similarly, links would be established with Department of Correctional Servics amongst others to source existiing and available data on offenders to map an offender profile and spaital location of these offenders
Risk treatment measure	Different institutions that could provide data will be identified and a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) would be entered into with these institutions to provide data on a regural interval. Furthermore, interviews will be conducted with the members of the next of kin of the murder victims
Indicator title	2.2.5 Number of police clusters with community organisation databases
Short definition	To maintain a database of all organisations who are active in the field of policing and safety in every police cluster
Purpose/importance	The database will indicate the number and nature of organisations, operating in each defined police cluster their contract particulars and geographical location for the purpose of identifying and contracting them should a safety issue arise in a particular city or town
Source/Collection of data	Questionnaire completed by relevant organisation
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access to information and unwillingness of organisation to participate

Type of indicator	A ativity
Type of indicator	Activity
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research
Key risk	Unwillingness and reluctance of the community organisation to
	participate in the Programme
Risk treatment measure	Awareness sessions could be held to increase awareness
Indicator title	2.2.6 Number of Expanded Partnership Programme web based
<u> </u>	applications maintained
Short definition	To maintain the electronic application that will be used to implement
	the Expanded Partnership Programme (EPP)
Purpose/importance	To implement the Expanded Partnership Programme (EPP) which will
	improve the CPF role in doing oversight and to contribute to
	increasing safety
Source/Collection of data	Assessment report
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access and availability of information
Type of indicator	Activity
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research
Key risk	The EPP application development is completed through the
	Department of the Premier, Centre for e-Innovation. There is a
	dependency relationship that exists
Risk treatment measure	The Centre for e-Innovation would be notified about challenges
	relating to the application
Indicator title	2.2.7 Number of analytical assessment reports on Expanded
	Partnership Programme
Short definition	The analysis of reports submitted to the Department through the
	Expanded Partnership Programme
Purpose/importance	To analyse data provided in order to determine interventions that
	could improve the functioning of the CPFs
Source/Collection of data	Analysis reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access and availability of data
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Policy and Research
Key risk	Lack of participation by the CPFs on the EPP, thereby affecting the
	data that needs to be submitted to the Department
Risk treatment measure	The CPFs would be trained and constantly supported to collect and
	submit the required data

	SUB-PROGRAMME 2.3: MONITORING AND EVALUATION
Indicator title	2.3.1 Number of Service Delivery Complaints Management reports*
Short definition	This indicator seek to get an understanding of the State, nature and method of addressing service delivery complaints against the SAPS
Purpose/importance	To improve police conduct by managing service delivery complaints
Source/collection of data	SAPS, complaints management systems (complaints management
,	records)
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	The inaccessibility and unavailable of information, data integrity and lack of cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quaterly
New Indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Lack of coorperation by SAPS
Risk treatment measure	Matter is being dealt at monthly meeting and special task team level with SAPS
Indicator title	2.3.2 Annual report on the implementation of National Monitoring
	Tool (NMT) recommendations*
Short definition	A report on monitoring of the progress made on implementation of NMT recommendations
Purpose/importance	To monitor the implementation of recommendations by SAPS
Source/collection of data	NMT and SAPS reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	The unavailability of information and lack of cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New Indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Lack of coorperation by SAPS
Risk treatment measure	Matter is being dealt at monthly meeting and special task team level with SAPS
Indicator title	2.3.3 Number of police stations monitored*
Short definition	Number of police stations visited for monitoring purposes and compile report
Purpose/importance	To improve performance of the South Africa Police Service (SAPS)
Source/collection of data	SAPS and NMT
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	The inaccessibility and unavailability of information, data integrity and lack of cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New Indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Availability of and access to information, limited participation by CPFs in respect of EPP. Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information
Risk treatment measure	Continuous capacitation of CPFs in the EPP and training of staff on

	National Tool
Indicator title	2.3.4 Number of police stations monitoring reports*
Short definition	Consolidated reports on the implementation of NMT
Purpose/importance	To improve performance of the South African Police Service (SAPS)
Source/collection of data	SAPS and NMT
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	The inaccessibility and unavailability of information, data integrity and
	lack of cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New Indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Lack of cooperation
Risk treatment measure	Monthly meetings and ad hoc meetings with SAPS
Indicator title	2.3.5 Number of Domestic Violence Act (DVA) compliance reports*
Short definition	Consolidated reports on DVA compliance with reference to audits
	conducted, compliants received and recommendations
	implemented
Purpose/importance	To improve South African Police Service (SAPS) service delivery to
	victims of Domestic Violence (DV) as obligated by the DVA
Source/collection of data	SAPS, National DVA tool, complaints mechanism
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	The inaccessibility and unavailability of information, data integrity and
Type of indicator	lack of cooperation Output
Type of indicator Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New Indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Lack of cooperation by SAPS
Risk treatment measure	Regular meetings with SAPS
Kisk irediffielli ffiedsore	Regular meenings wiin sAr s
Indicator title	2.3.6 Number of M&E reports on special projects*
Short definition	M&E reports on special projects as directed by the Minister or
	Secretary
Purpose/importance	To undertake special projects as required or directed by the Minister
	of Police to influence policing policy
Source/collection of data	SAPS, other national government departments, civil society and
	communities
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Lack of cooperation of key stakeholders and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New Indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Short notice on special projects
Risk treatment measure	Attending the National M&E Forums

Indicator title	2.3.7 Number of police stations inspected
Short definition	To use the oversight mandate of the Western Cape Government (WCG) to improve policing
Purpose/importance	Improved policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	Provincial Oversight inspection tool: MEC oversight reports; EPP 1st level visit reports; DVA reports and reports of special visits to police stations
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information
Risk treatment measure	Promulgation of the Western Cape Community Safety Act
Indicator title	2.3.8 Number of first level oversight visits to stations monitored
Short definition	To evaluate police service delivery as an early warning mechanism
Purpose/importance	Improving policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	EPP snapshot
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information; consistency of web application; functionality of community structures
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Participation of CPFs in the EPP programme and their willingness to conduct the requested number of oversight visits
Risk treatment measure	Training of CPFs on EPP implementation and the constant monitoring of the oversight visits
Indicator title	2.3.9 Number of reports on court watching briefs conducted
Short definition	To identify and report on systemic failures or lack adequate policing which are contributing to poor prosecution rates amongst priority cases such as gang related murders and other violent crimes
Purpose/importance	Improved policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	Reports on observation
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information. Lack of cooperation by state prosecutors and magistrates
Risk treatment measure	Promulgation of the Western Cape Community Safety Act and informing courts of the projects

Indicator title	2.3.10 Number of reports on police conduct at protest actions
Short definition	To provide the WCG Executive with real-time status reports on high
	profile protest actions
Purpose/importance	Improved policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	Reports on the observation
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information. Lack of commitment by staff due to safety concerns
Risk treatment measure	Training of staff
Indicator title	2.3.11 Number of cases monitored through court watching briefs
Short definition	To provide the WCG Executive with real-time status reports on high profile court cases
Purpose/importance	Improved policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	Reports on observation
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information. Lack of cooperation by state prosecutors and magistrates
Risk treatment measure	Meetings with court officials informing them of projects
MON II GUILLION III GUILLION II	Mice and go with each emercial microming mem or projects
Indicator Title	2.3.12 Number of priority investigations conducted
Short definition	To report on high priority police service delivery investigations
Purpose/importance	Improved policing will contribute to increasing safety
Source/collection of data	Report/database
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Availability of and access to information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Possible ambiguous interpretation of guiding legislation and policies that could prevent the Department from accessing information
Risk treatment measure	Promulgation of the Western Cape Community Safety Act
In all a suba a Title	0.2.12 Number of incident non-order of street street.
Indicator Title	2.3.13 Number of incident reports received via the Promote Professional Policing Programme
Short definition	To ensure an accessible avenue to lodge police service delivery complaints and compliments by the community

Purpose/importance	To enable the public to complain if their rights are infringed or they
	receive poor service or compliment good service from the police
Source/collection of data	Complaints data system
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Access to information kept by other institutions
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Monitoring and Evaluation
Key risk	Willingness of the general public to report poor/good service delivery
Risk treatment measure	Promoting of the system

^{*}National Sector Specific Indicators (Safety and Liaison)

PROGRAMME 3 – CRIME PREVENTION AND COMMUNITY POLICE RELATIONS

	SUB-PROGRAMME 3.1 : SOCIAL CRIME PREVENTION
Indicator title	3.1.1 Number of Neighbourhood Watch members trained
Short definition	To train Neighbourhood Watch volunteers
Purpose/importance	The training of Neighbourhood Watch Structures and its members
	through the provision of training so that communities play a far more
	effective role in addressing crime and report on safety incidents in
	their neighbourhood
Source/collection of data	Training database
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention
Key risk	Non-availability of community members to attend the training
Risk treatment measure	Annual training schedule circulated to NHWs
Indicator title	3.1.2 Number of Neighbourhood Watches accredited
Short definition	Ensure the accreditation of NHW as formal entities and registration of
	Neighbourhood Wathes accreditation database of the Department
Purpose/importance	To have a database in place of accredited Neighbourhood Wathes
	as a criteria for continous support to them
Source/collection of data	Database of accredited NHW
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention
Key risk	To have an effective database in place
Risk treatment measure	Establishment of an effective database system
Indicator title	3.1.3 Number of Community Safety Outreach Programmes rolled out
Short definition	To measure the safety needs of communities
Purpose/importance	The measuring of the number of public accountability meetings
	where safety needs of communities are determined, youth
	programmes supported and neighbourhood safety walk-abouts
Course / collection of data	promoted Report to account a column and programmes
Source/collection of data Method of calculation	Report per outreach programme Count
Data limitations	Dependency on CPFs
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle New indicator	Quarterly
	No As per target
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention
Key risk	Lack of community attendance and participation during public
Pick trootmant magazine	outreach engagements
Risk treatment measure	Improved marketing and communication

Purpose/importance A measure of youth and religion safety programmes which positively programmes A measure of youth and religion safety programmes which positively occupy the youth and religion safety programmes which positively occupy the youth and disrupt gang violence Source/collection of data Reports Method of calculation Data limitations Dependence on religious organisations Uppe of indicator Courput Colculation hype Reporting cycle Annually New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Religious partners not reacting the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted with Chrysalis Academy Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Uppe of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Risk treatment measure To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Desired performance As per target Indicator type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Upper of indicator Output Calcula		
programmes A measure of youth and religion safety programmes which positively occupy the youth and disrupt gang violence Reports Method of calculation Data limitations Dependence on religious organisations Type of Indicator Court Quiput Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annually New Indicator Possibility Rey risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes Implementation of communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None None Data limitations None Reporting cycle Annually Non-definition Implementation of communities the learning programmes Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Reporting cycle Annually None Desired performance As per target As the targeted youth effectively Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Reporting cycle As per target Additional resources will be allocated Indicator responsibility As per target Indicator responsibility As per target Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partn	Indicator title	
Purpose/Importance Source/collection of data Reports Method of calculation Dependence on religious organisations Type of indicator Colculation hype Reporting cycle Annuality New indicator Data imitations Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Method of calculation Dependence on religious organisations Type of indicator Output Colculation hype Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annuality New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Reisk treatment measure Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in community safety outreach programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Countation Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The sofety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The sofety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Colculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance As per target Boundard Prevention Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired perfor	Short definition	
occupy the youth and disrupt gang violence Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Dependence on religious organisations Type of indicator Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annually New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure Indicator fittle Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator fittle Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator fittle Sub-programme of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Courrect organismes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Courrect organismes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implemented with Chrysal	Purpose/importance	
Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Dependence on religious organisations Type of Indicator Coleculation type Reporting cycle Annually New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey risk Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Indicator community safety outreach programmes Indicator community safety outreach programmes Indicator community safety outreach programmes Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Indicator community safety outreach programmes Indicator safety outreach programmes Indicator community safety outreach programmes Indicator safety outreach programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Indicator sponsibility Indicator safety outreach programme Indicator safety outreach saf	. o.poso,ponanos	
Data limitations Dependence on religious organisations Output Output Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annually New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint communities the learning programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Outreach reports Count of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance Indicator Reporting cordinators placed with partners in the safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly No Desired performance As per target Indicator Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Output Cumulative Reporting cycle Output Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle No Desired performance As per target Budgetary constraints Budgetary constraints Budgetary constraints	Source/collection of data	
Type of indicator Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annually New indicator Desired performance Indicator tesponsibility Rey risk Risk treatment measure Risk treatment measure Short definition Data limitations Pyer of indicator Data risk Reporting cycle None Reporting cycle None Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Implementation of community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Source/collection of data Outreach reports Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Count Data limitations No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Indequate human resources Risk treatment measure Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Count Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly None Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Purpose of indicator Count None Reporting cycle Quarterly None Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Count None Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention	Method of calculation	Count
Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Annually New indicator No Desired performance Incident responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Desired performance Indicator title Sish treatment measure Source/collection of data Method of calculation Desired performance Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Desired performance As per target Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Count Desired performance Super target Indicator type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Responsibility Source/collection of data None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Responsibility Rey risk Indicator title Since definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitation Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Type of indicator Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Type of indicator Count Data limitations Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Sub-programme Manager: Socia	Data limitations	Dependence on religious organisations
Reporting cycle New indicator New indicator New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Risk treatment measure Source/collection of data No Desired performance As per target Agilipous partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Indicator state in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Outreach reports Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Quarletry New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility As per target Indicator responsibility Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners The safety work opportunities created for youth incide youth New programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth incidence in the PWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth incidence in the PWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth incidence is calcol safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New Indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention	Type of indicator	
New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Outreach reports Counted the port of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New Indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count No Desired performance Reporting cycle Quarterly No Reporting cycle Quarterly No Reporting cycle Quarterly No Reporting cycle No Reporting cycle No Reporting cycle No Reporting deformance As per target Reporting cycle No Repo	Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Source/collection of data Method of calculation Source postibility Reporting cycle Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Method of calculation Purpose/importance Indicator title Source/collection of data Method of calculation Purpose/importance Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Method of calculation Data limitations None Reporting cycle Indicator Count No Desired performance Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme." Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Countriet Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Pr	Reporting cycle	Annually
Indicator responsibility Key risk Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Risk treatment measure Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes Implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in community safety outreach programmes Noure/collection of data None Type of indicator Court outlative Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Key risk Inadequate human resources Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme." To we safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme." To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth	New indicator	No
Religious partners not reaching the youth targeted Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Short definition Purpose/importance To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Outreach reports Count Outreach reports Count Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners work of the portunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Count Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Supportunities created for provention Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention	Desired performance	
Risk treatment measure Capacitation and relationship building with religious seciotn to mobilise the targeted youth effectively 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Outreach reports Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance Indicator stepsonsibility Key risk Inadequate human resources Risk treatment measure Additional resources will be allocated 1. Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Database on EPWP volunteers Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly None Reporting cycle Quarterly None Reporting cycle Quarterly None Reporting cycle Quarterly None Reporting cycle No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints		
Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint community safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data base on EPWP volunteers Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Countlative Reporting cycle Quarterly None To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	Key risk	
Indicator title 3.1.5 Number of joint commuynity safety outreach programmes implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly None Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention	Risk treatment measure	
implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Outreach reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Method of calculation Source/collection of data Outreach reports Additional resources will be allocated Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Monager: Social Crime Prevention Besired performance Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator No Desired performance As per target Loundative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reportations None Purpose/importance As per target Indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention		mobilise the targeted youth ettectively
implemented with Chrysalis Academy Implementation of community safety outreach programmes To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Source/collection of data Outreach reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Method of calculation Source/collection of data Outreach reports Additional resources will be allocated Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Monager: Social Crime Prevention Besired performance Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator No Desired performance As per target Loundative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reportations None Purpose/importance As per target Indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention		
Implementation of community safety outreach programmes	Indicator title	
Purpose/importance To demonstate in communities the learning programmes that youth have been taken up in Outreach reports Count Data limitations Type of indicator Coulput Calculation type Reporting cycle Indicator Desired performance Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Purpose/importance Purpose/importance Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourthood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Count Data limitations Type of or indicator Type of indicator Ty	Short definition	
have been taken up in Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Rey risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting dynamic As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Reporting dynamic Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting Commander Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Reporting dynamic Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting Prevention		
Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting type Reporting cycle Reporting c	1 dipose, importance	
Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Inadequate human resources Risk treatment measure As per target Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle New indicator Lount Reporting Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting tysk Budgetary constraints	Source/collection of data	
Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance As per target Cumulative Reporting cycle New risk Budgetary constraints Social Crime Prevention Cumulative Reporting cycle No Data Data Indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Budgetary constraints		
Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure Indicator title Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Indicator title Sub-programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	Data limitations	
Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Inadequate human resources Risk treatment measure Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Count Output Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Budgetary constraints	Type of indicator	
Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Inadequate human resources Risk treatment measure Additional resources will be allocated Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth with partners The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reporting indicator Prevention Sub-gramme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		Cumulative
As per target	Reporting cycle	Quarterly
Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention	New indicator	No
Key risk Risk treatment measure Additional resources will be allocated 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Determining the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Rey risk Risk treatment measure Additional resources will be allocated As delitional resources will be allocated As delitional resources will be allocated To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken int	Desired performance	As per target
Additional resources will be allocated 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Additional resources will be allocated 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme To weath taken into the EPWP programme To weath taken into the EPWP programme To woth taken into the EPWP programme To weath taken into the EPWP programme To woth taken into the E	Indicator responsibility	
Indicator title 3.1.6 Number of work opportunities created for youth with partners Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints	Key risk	Inadequate human resources
Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Culculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reportance Surpose of youth taken into the EPWP programme Tyouth taken into the EPWP programme EPWP programme Tyouth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Count Data limitations None Cumulative Cumulative Reporting cycle As per target Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints	Risk treatment measure	Additional resources will be allocated
Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Culculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Reportance Surpose of youth taken into the EPWP programme Tyouth taken into the EPWP programme EPWP programme Tyouth taken into the EPWP programme The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Count Data limitations None Cumulative Cumulative Reporting cycle As per target Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		
Purpose/importance To measure the number of youth taken into the EPWP programme. The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		
The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Count Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility No Budgetary constraints The safety work opportunities created for youth include, school safety and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Lead Type of indicator Support		
and Neighbourhood safety coordinators placed with partners in the "Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	Purpose/importance	
"Youth Work Programme" Source/collection of data Database on EPWP volunteers Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		
Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	Source/collection of data	
Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	•	
Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Output Cumulative Quarterly No No No No Bub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		
Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Cumulative Quarterly No As per target Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Budgetary constraints		
Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints		·
New indicator No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints		
Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	New indicator	,
Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention Key risk Budgetary constraints	Desired performance	
Key risk Budgetary constraints	Indicator responsibility	· · · · · ·
	Key risk	
<u> </u>	Risk treatment measure	Pre-emptive budgeting and planning

	SUB-PROGRAMME 3.2 : COMMUNITY POLICE RELATIONS
Indicator title	3.2.1 Number of functional CPFs*
Short definition	CPFs complying with the EPP
Purpose/importance	Improved community police relations to ensure transparency and
. , .	accountability
Source/collection of data	CPF database
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	CPF members turnover, lack of participation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs do not clearly understand their roles and functions in terms of their oversight role of monitoring policing functions
Risk treatment measure	Capacitation and relationship building with CPFs providing adequate technical support in terms of the web based system and the monitoring of policing functions
Indicator title	3.2.2 Number of valid MOA's signed with CPFs on EPP
Short definition	The measuring of the number of Community Police Forums where the EPP system is implemented
Purpose/importance	To measure Community Police Forums which have agreed to implement the EPP system in order to monitor policing and enable the CPFs to get paid for said activities
Source/collection of data	Signed MOA
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Dependence on CPFs
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs which refuse to be part of the EPP
Risk treatment measure	Capacitation of CPFs to communicate the benefits of the EPP to the CPF and its policing precints and provide adequate technical support in terms of the web based system and the monitoring of policing functions
Indicator title	3.2.3 Number of performance audits of EPP CPFs
Short definition	To measure the organisational performance of EPP CPFs
Purpose/importance	To address organisational performance of EPP CPFs
Source/collection of data	Performance audit tool
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	CPF cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs do not clearly understand their roles and functions in terms of their oversight role of monitoring policing functions
Risk treatment measure	CPF capacitation on improving understanding of CPF roles, functions and partnering with relevant stakeholders

Indicator title	3.2.4 Number of CPFs supported to comply with minimum service delivery standards
Short definition	To facilitate compliance with the minumum service delivery standards of the EPP and provide support to CPFs
Purpose/importance	Improved compliance with the minimum service delivery standards of the EPP
Source/collection of data	Reports of meetings attended
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Dependence on CPFs
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	Inadequate human capital resources
Risk treatment measure	Additional resources will be allocated
Indicator title	3.2.5 Number of public accountability meetings
Short definition	To faciliate regular public accountability meeting held with SAPS and CPFs to provide for a forum at which communities may register safety concerns
Purpose/importance	To provide communities with an opportunity to engage SAPS, the
i dipose/illipolidiice	Department and CPFs about safety concerns within their communities
	which links to the policing needs and priorities of a community
Source/collection of data	Reports on public accountability meetings
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs do not clearly understand their roles and functions in terms of their oversight role of monitoring policing functions
Risk treatment measure	CPF capacitation on improving CPF understanding of CPF roles and functions and partnering with relevant stakeholders
Indicator title	3.2.6 Number of policing clusters where EPP support interventions were conducted
Short definition	The measuring of the number of training interventions made in support of the EPP
Purpose/importance	An amount of training sessions held in order to capacitate CPFs in their performance of monitoring policing and gathering of safety information
Source/collection of data	EPP training reports, database
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs do not clearly understand their roles and functions in terms of

	their oversight role of monitoring policing functions
Risk treatment measure	Relationship building and partnering with relevant stakeholders and
	understanding the empowerment that the training will provide will
	determine the success of the training
Indicator title	3.2.7 Number of E-reports verified
Short definition	To measure the validity of CPF E-reports submitted
Purpose/importance	The assessment of the CPF E-report to ensure verification
Source/collection of data	Verification tool
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	CPF participation and cooperation on the EPP, SAPS cooperation
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Community Police Relations
Key risk	CPFs do not clearly understand their roles and functions in terms of
Risk treatment measure	their oversight role of monitoring policing functions
kisk treatment measure	CPF capacitation on improving CPF understanding of CPF roles,
	functions and partnering with relevant stakeholders SUB-PROGRAMME 3.3: PROMOTION OF SAFETY
Indicator title	3.3.1 Number of CSFs assessed*
Short definition	CSFs complying to national CSF guideline and policy
Purpose/importance	Improved intergovernmental integration and coordination of Criminal
ruipose/importance	Justice Programmes to enhance community safety
Source/collection of data	CSF/reports from CSF, municipality and/or community feedback
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Lack of stakeholder participation and absence of reliable baseline
	information
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Bi-Annually
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Promotion of Safety
Key risk	N/A
Risk treatment measure	N/A
Indicator title	3.3.2 Number of social crime prevention programmes implemented*
Short definition	The implementation of integrated social crime prevention initiatives
Purpose/importance	To implement whole-of-society social crime prevention initiatives with
	municipalities, communities, social cluster departments and civil
	society institutions in order to contribute to an enabling environment in
	which crime is less likely to happen
Source/collection of data	Business plans, project reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output Non cumulative
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Annually
New indicator	No As por target
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Promotion of Safety
Vascriele	1 Ctatt and budget constraints
Key risk Risk treatment measure	Staff and budget constraints Approval of business plan which addresses risk

Indicator title	3.3.3 Number of M&E observations done at formal partners
Short definition	The implementation of monitoring and evaluation over projects
Purpose/importance	To conduct monitoring and evaluation inspections at the premises of
	formal partners in order to ensure that partners comply with the terms
	and conditions as set out in the memorandum of agreements signed
	between them and the Department
Source/collection of data	Completed monitoring and evaluation tools
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Promotion of Safety
Key risk	Staff and budget constraints
Risk treatment measure	Approval of business plan which addresses risk
Indicator title	3.3.4 Number of formal partnerships established
Short definition	To advance and implement evidence based safety initiatives that
	aims to collaborate with safety social sector agencies
Purpose/importance	To emphasise the importance of making safety everyone's
	responsibility
Source/collection of data	Memorandum of Agreement/Understanding
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	Lack of participation from stakeholders
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Social Crime Prevention
Key risk	Budget constraints
Risk treatment measure	Inter-governmental co-operation

^{*} National Sector Specific Indicators

PROGRAMME 4 – SECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator title 4.1.1 Number of Provincial Transversal security managers forums supported Short definition 5 ro support and maintain a forum to discuss transversal security risk management issues affecting WCG departments Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of Indicator Countlative Reporting cycle As per larget Indicator sporsibility As or consumer that the transversal security risk management issues affecting WCG departments None Type of Indicator Activity Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New Indicator As per larget Indicator responsibility Rey risk Non-communication of value Resource yes institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation None Type of indicator As per larget Indicator responsibility Non-communication of value Responsible of the security Strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation None Type of indicator Quiput Calculation type Reporting cycle As per larget Indicator sponsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management None Type of indicator Quarterly New Indicator As per larget Indicator sponsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per larget Indicator sponsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per larget Indicator title A.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implementation of the risk index Very risk Risk treatment measure Sub-RogRamMet 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition None Type of indicator Quarterly New indicator Ves Bush race of provincial Security Operations Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Risk treatment measure Reporting cycle As per larget Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Se	PROGRAMME 4 – SECURITY RIS	
Short definition To support and maintain a forum to discuss transversal security risk management issues affecting WCG departments Standardisation of safety and security risk management issues affecting WCG departments Source/collection of data Minutes of meetings, quarterly progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Risk treatment measure As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Non-communication of value Risk treatment measure At 1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Reporting cycle As per target Indicator Reports Report Reports Risk treatment measure Participation at the security Risk Management Reporting cycle Reporting cyc	La de a al a a lell a	SUB-PROGRAMME 4.1: PROGRAMME SUPPORT
Short definition	indicator title	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
management issues affecting WCG departments Surce/collection of data Minutes of meetings, quarterly progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of Indicator Activity Calculation type Reporting cycle More and progress reports Activity Counciditive Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target Indicator title 4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance Fource/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Quarterly New indicator As per target Indicator title As per target As per target As per target As per target Indicator title As per target As per target Indicator title As per target Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicator Indicat		
Standardisation of safety and security risk management issues affecting WCG departments Source/collection of data Minutes of meetings, quarterly progress reports Count Data limitations Indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey risk Non-communication of value Risk treatment measure To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management of the Security Strategy Short definition To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Desired performance Indicator title 4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Count Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Sus-Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target Indicator title A.1.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index Desired performance To measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Surce/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Surce/collection of data Method of calculation As per target Desired performance As	Short definition	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
affecting WCG departments Source/collection of data Minutes of meetings, quarterly progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Activity Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure Activity Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation As per target Indicator title 4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition None Type of indicator Output Calculation of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Typeser/mortance Output O		
Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quartery New indicator Data limitations None Reporting cycle Quartery New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey risk Non-communication of value Risk treatment measure Indicator title 4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quartery New indicator Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Vyes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Sus-Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Porticipation of the security managers forum Sus-ReyorRayMark 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator ritle A.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Rype of indicator Output Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quartery Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quartery New indicator Yes Desired performance Indicator responsibility Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Output Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Skiller	Purpose/importance	, ,
Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Activity Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure A.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data None Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Count None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Skills		
Data limitations None	•	
Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle No Desired performance Indicator responsibility Key risk Risk treatment measure A.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Reporting cycle Nownership of the strategy by all WCG department Reporting cycle Nownership of the strategy by all WCG department Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Reportance Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Reportance Reporting cycle Indicator responsibility Reportance Reportance Reportance Indicator responsibility Reportance Indicator responsibility Reportance Reportance Indicator responsibility Reportance Indicator Report		
Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure As per target Indicator fittle A.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Programme Manager: Security Risk Management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Count None Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator fittle Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance Indicator of data Method of calculation Count Calculation type None Type of indicator Output Calculation type None Type of indicator Output Calculation type None Type of indicator Output Calculation type None Type of indicator As per target None Type of indicator Output Calculation type None Type of indicator As per target None Type of performance As per target None		
Reporting cycle New indicator No No Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Rey risk Non-communication of value Risk treatment measure Short definition For increase resilience Furpose/Importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Type of indicator Risk treatment measure None Purpose performance As per target Indicator title A1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/Importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Rethod of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Culculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Personability Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SuB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/Importance Implementation of the risk index Purpose/Importance OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle As per target Indicator responsibility None Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	Type of indicator	
New Indicator No As per target As per target	Calculation type	Cumulative
Desired performance As per target Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure Communication of value Communication of value Communication of value Communication of value Communication protocol	Reporting cycle	Quarterly
Indicator responsibility	New indicator	No
Risk treatment measure Communication of value	Desired performance	As per target
Risk treatment measure Communication of value	_	
Risk treatment measure Communication protocol		
Indicator title 4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the Security Strategy To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly Nome Output Calculation type As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Ves Desired performance As per target As per target Formal Manager: Security Risk Management As per target Formal Manager: Security Risk Management Formal Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Count Count Count Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Key risk Skills	•	Communication protocol
Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Posired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Type of indicator Type of indicator Quarterly New indicator Ves Peporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Skills Skills		
Short definition To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Posired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Type of indicator Type of indicator Quarterly New indicator Ves Peporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Skills Skills	Indicator title	4.1.2 Number of reports on progress with the management of the
To ensure that the transversal safety and security risk management strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance		
strategy is institutionalised in WCG departments to ultimately increase resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Progress reports As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Indicator of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Output Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Ves Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	Short definition	
resilience Purpose/importance To increase resilience in the WCG Source/collection of data Progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Culput Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Risk reatment measure Porticipation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Pess Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		, ,
Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Progress reports Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Resident cycle Reporting cycle Resident cycle Reside		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Colculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator None Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Risk reatment measure Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Yes Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	Purpose/importance	
Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator Culput Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Posired performance Indicator responsibility Risk treatment measure SuB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations None Type of indicator To count Data limitations None Reporting cycle New indicator New indicator Yes Participation at the security managers forum SuB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance Implementation of the risk index Source/collection of data OHS risk index Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Method of calculation Count Obtata limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance	•	
Type of indicator Calculation type Cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Desired performance Indicator responsibility Rey risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle New indicator Pess Pess Pess Pess Pess Per target Non-commulative Reporting cycle Rew indicator Pess Posired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Calculation typeCumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilityProgramme Manager: Security Risk ManagementKey riskOwnership of the strategy by all WCG departmentRisk treatment measureParticipation at the security managers forumSUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONSIndicator title4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implementedShort definitionImplementation of the risk indexPurpose/importanceTo measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrumentSource/collection of dataOHS risk indexMethod of calculationCountData limitationsNoneType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeNon-cumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills		
Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk		
New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Desired performance		
Indicator responsibility Programme Manager: Security Risk Management Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Key risk Ownership of the strategy by all WCG department Risk treatment measure Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Short definition Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	-	
Risk treatment measure SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title Short definition Purpose/importance Source/collection of data Method of calculation Data limitations Type of indicator Calculation type Reporting cycle Reporting cycle Desired performance Participation at the security managers forum SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument OHS risk index Count None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk		
SUB-PROGRAMME 4.2: PROVINCIAL SECURITY OPERATIONS Indicator title 4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implemented Implementation of the risk index Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Reporting cycle Non-cumulative Reporting cycle New indicator Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	•	
Indicator title4.2.1 Number of buildings at which the safety index is implementedShort definitionImplementation of the risk indexPurpose/importanceTo measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrumentSource/collection of dataOHS risk indexMethod of calculationCountData limitationsNoneType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeNon-cumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills	Risk iredifficiti ffiedsore	
Short definitionImplementation of the risk indexPurpose/importanceTo measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrumentSource/collection of dataOHS risk indexMethod of calculationCountData limitationsNoneType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeNon-cumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills	Indicator title	
Purpose/importance To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk To measure the safety compliance of buildings and have an objective measurement instrument OHS risk index Count None Count None Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly Sub-rogramme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Skills		
objective measurement instrument Source/collection of data OHS risk index Method of calculation Count Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Source/collection of dataOHS risk indexMethod of calculationCountData limitationsNoneType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeNon-cumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills	Forpose/importance	, ,
Method of calculationCountData limitationsNoneType of indicatorOutputCalculation typeNon-cumulativeReporting cycleQuarterlyNew indicatorYesDesired performanceAs per targetIndicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills	Source/collection of data	
Data limitations None Type of indicator Output Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills	•	
Type of indicator Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Calculation type Non-cumulative Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Reporting cycle Quarterly New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
New indicator Yes Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Desired performance As per target Indicator responsibility Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations Key risk Skills		
Indicator responsibilitySub-programme Manager: Provincial Security OperationsKey riskSkills		
Key risk Skills	-	
Risk treatment measure Capacity building	_	
	Risk treatment measure	Capacity building

Indicator title	4.2.2 Number of access control system reports generated
Short definition	Generate access control reports from the access control system
Purpose/importance	To monitor unauthorised access to increase safety
Source/collection of data	Access control reports
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	System offline
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-Cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Provincial Security Operations
Key risk	Technical skills
Risk treatment measure	Capacity building
	SUB-PROGRAMME 4.3: SECURITY ADVISORY SERVICES
Indicator title	4.3.1 Number of safety and security plans reviewed
Short definition	The implementation of safety and security methodologies to facilitate
	the review of safety and security plans
Purpose/importance	To facilitate an updated safety and security plan for each
	department to increase safety
Source/collection of data	Review methodology
Method of calculation	Count
Data limitations	None
Type of indicator	Output
Calculation type	Non-cumulative
Reporting cycle	Quarterly
New indicator	No
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme Manager: Security Advisory Services
Key risk	Skills
Risk treatment measure	Capacity building
Indicator title	4.3.2 Number of departments at which the implementation of the
	Security index is facilitated
Short definition	Number of WCG departments where levels of safety and security is
Dump o o o /imp o o o de o o o	determined and gaps mitigated
Purpose/importance	Obtain objective safety and security measurement and implement
Source /oollection of data	counter measures
Source/collection of data Method of calculation	Safety and security index
	Count
Data limitations Type of indicator	None
Type of indicator	Output Non cumulativo
Calculation type	Non cumulative Ougrtorly
Reporting cycle New indicator	Quarterly Yes
Desired performance	As per target
Indicator responsibility	Sub-programme manager: Security Advisory Services
Key risk	Lack of skills to manage the implementation of the security index
Risk treatment measure	Capacity building